# Developmental Changes in Children's Goal Orientations Toward Schoolwork: Gender Differences

Kwang Suk Yoon & Jacquelynne S. Eccles
University of Michigan

Running head: Changes in Goal Orientaions Toward Schoolwork

Roundtable presentation (Session 17.03) at the Annual Meeting of the American Education Research Association at Chicago, March 1997. The research reported in this roundtable was supported by Grant HD17553 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. We would like to thank the school districts involved in these studies and the following people for their asistance: Allan Wigfield, Rena D. Harold, Phyllis C. Blumenfeld, Amy Arbreton, Ketl Freedman-Doan, Robert Roeser.

Correspondence concerning this paper should be addressed to Kwang Suk Yoon, 5201 Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, P O Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1248. E-mail: ksyoon@umich.edu

Developmental changes in children's goal orientations toward schoolwork

Kwang Suk Yoon, University of Michigan Jacquelynne S. Eccles, University of Michigan

Kwang Suk Yoon Rm. 5201 Institute for Social Research University of Michigan Ann Arbor MI 48l06-1248

### <u>Introduction</u>

Research (e.g. Ames & Archer, 1988; Ford, 1992; Locke & Lathem, 1990; Maehr & Midgley, 1991) has indicated that children's goals or goal orientations regulate their motivation and achievement behaviors. Among a number of goal constructs, two contrasting goal orientations have drawn most attention in the motivation literature: mastery and performance goal orientations. Both have been associated with different achievement outcomes. Recently, however, some theorists (e.g., Migley, Maehr, & Urdan, 1993) have made a further distinction among three types of performance goal orientation: extrinsic, relative ability, and effort avoidance. Further, other researchers (e.g., Ford, 1992; Urdan & Maehr, 1996; Wentzel, 1990) have expanded the boundary of goals beyond the mastery-performance goal dichotomy. These researchers suggested various other goals (e.g., social responsibility, adult approval, and belongingness) that children may adopt in and out of the school setting.

Despite the variety of goal orientations outlined by researchers, however, goal theorists have yet to address just when these divergent goals emerge in the minds of children. The key question is, when do children begin to distinguish among those divergent goals while they are engaged in their school work? Further, do boys and girls at different ages define these goals similarly?

In his exceptional study of the development of children's goals, Nicholls (1990) found that both task (or mastery) goal and ego (or performance) goal are evident by as early as second grade. One may wonder, then, if very young children's primitive goal structure becomes increasingly differentiated with age into a more complex structure, as some developmentalists (e.g., Eccles & Wigfield, 1993; Werner, 1957; Wigfield, 1994) might suggest. As a recent review of motivation literature suggests, "[D]evelopmental studies of multiple goals are badly needed" (Eccles, Wigfield, & Schiefele, in press).

The present study is designed to fill the gap in the developmental research by exploring the possibility of differentiation of children's goal orientation toward school work as they mature. This study will also investigate gender difference in the developmental change.

## Method

This study is part of a larger 10-year longitudinal research project investigating the school experiences of 865 children attending 10 elementary schools in four suburban school districts in southeastern Michigan. Data on children's reasons for doing their school work were collected from 229 second graders, 255 third graders, and 233 fifth graders of about equal number of boys and girls. Measures (see Table 1 for questionnaire items) were based on 1-7 Likert-type scales.

# Preliminary Results and Discussion

Exploratory factor analyses were performed to examine the factor structures of chilren's goal orientation toward school work, separately for each age group and for each gender. As Table 1 shows, preliminary results suggest some age and gender differences and similarities in children's goal structure. First, consistent with previous research (e.g., Migley, Maehr, & Urdan, 1993), we were able to identify at least three distinct factors for 3rd and 5th grade children, each underlying children's goal orientation toward school work: (1) mastery goal, (2) performance goal - extrinsic (or adult approval), and (3) performance goal - relative ability. Among girls, however, the differentiation within the performance goal (i.e., into relative ability goal and adult approval goal) did not occur until they reached 3rd grade. Our data seem to suggest that, unlike girls, boys were already able to distinguish among all three goals by as early as 2nd grade. The possibility of males' relatively greater sensitivity to environmental cues calling for various performance goals (e.g., competition, social comparison) will be discussed.

Second, a close examination of the factor loadings of each item (see Table 1 for 9 items) reveals that individual items loaded on different factors depending on age and gender. For example, the item, "I feel bad about myself when it doesn't get done," was increasingly related to mastery goal orientation for girls, whereas the same item was consistently linked to performance goal orientation (i.e., relative ability goal or adult approval goal) for boys.

Confirmatory factor analysis (Jöreskog & Sorböm, 1993) will be conducted to systematically test if the initial factor structures of children's goal orientations change with age. To follow Nesselroade's (1983) suggestion, tests of factor invariance and measurement equivalency will be performed to examine potential age and gender differences in the nature of children's goal orientation toward school work.

Implications for motivational practices in educational settings will be discussed.

### References

- Ames, C. & Archer, J. (1988). Achievement goals in the classroom: Student learning strategies and motivational processes. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 80, 260-267.
- Eccles, J. S. & Wigfield, A. (1993). In the mind of the actor: The structure of adolescents' achievement task values and expectancy-related beliefs. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 21, 215-225.
- Eccles, J. S., Wigfield, A., & Schiefele, U. (in press). Motivation to succeed. In N. Eisenberg (Ed.). <u>Handbook of Child Development (5th ed., Vol IV)</u>, New York: Wiley.
- Jöreskog, K. G. & Sorböm, D. (1993). <u>LISREL 8 User's Reference Guide</u>. Chicago, IL: Scientific Software International.
- Ford, M. (1992). <u>Motivating humans: Goals, emotions, and personal agency beliefs</u>. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Locke, E. A. & Latham, G. P. (1990). <u>A theory of goal setting and task</u> performance. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Maehr, M. L. & Midgley, C. (1991). Enhancing student motivation: A schoolwide approach. <u>Educational Psychologist</u>, 26, 399-426.
- Migley, C., Maehr, M. L., & Urdan, T. (1993). <u>Patterns of adaptive learning survey (PALS)</u>. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, Combined Program in Education and Psychology.
- Nesselroade, J. R. (1983). Temporal selection and factor invariance in the study of development and change. In J. R. Nesselroade (Ed.) <u>Life span development and behavior</u>, Vol. 5. pp. 59-84.
- Nicholls, J. (1990). What is ability and why are we mindful of it? A developmental perspective. In Sternberg, R. J. & Kolligian, J. Jr. (Eds.), <a href="Competence considered">Competence considered</a> (pp. 11-40), New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Werner, H. (1957). The concept of development from a comparative and organismic point of view. In D. D. Harris (Ed.), <u>The concept of development</u>. Minneapolis: University of Minneapolis Press.
- Wigfield, A. (1994). Expectancy-value theory of achievement motivation: A developmental perspective. <u>Educational Psychological Review</u>, 6, 1944.

Factor Structure (Pattern Matrix) of Children's Goal Orientations toward School Work by Age and Gender Table 1.

Girls			0					
	2	2nd grade		3rd grade			5th grade	
	Mastery	Performance	Mastery	Performance	nance	Mastery	Performance	ance
I do my school work because:		(I In d) (Common to 1)		Adult	Relative		Adult	Relative
Transfer to come a constitution		(Unumerennated)		approval	ability		approval	ability
want to earn new unings	.85	-,13	.82	11	00.	68'	11	90:
It is fun of interesting	.86	01	92.	01	06	.86	20	.02
I mink I should	.57	38	.85	.02	02	.81	.13	13
I feel bad about myself when it doesn't get done	.11	.3 <u>4</u>	.32	.12	.16	69.	.13	.03
reacher says we have to	.02	.61	19	88.	03	06	88.	06
rarent(s) told me I have to	08	77:	01	92.	.14	13	98.	.05
To eat better and and	.29	.61	.34	69.	04	.26	.74	.13
So other grades than other kids	12	.78	04	60	.94	.02	03	88
So other people will think I'm smart	12		.02	.10	.84	05	.07	88.

Boys									
		2nd grade			3rd grade			5th grade	
	Mastery	Performance	nance	Mastery	Perfor	Performance	Mastery	Performance	ance
I do my school work because:		Adult approval	Relative		Adult	Relative	•	Adult	Relative
I want to earn new things	17.	01	.01	84	approvar	aumty 03	20	approvai	ability
It is fun or interesting	.70	02	20	278	25.	8 8	6.6	C. 1.	 41
I think I should	69	3	; ; ;	, a	.00	70.	/07	10	9. ?
I feel had about move of when it doesn't and does	S C	) } }	CT:-	6.	OT:	<b>.</b> 04	Š.	97:	.U6
Tical day about hitself whell it doesn't get done	67.	90:	49	.20	17	51	.37	.59	.17
leacher says we have to	.12	.80	.07	08	.87	20.	25	2	70
Parent(s) told me I have to	22	.87	02	.07	83	02	90-	12	±0;
It makes my parent(s) happy	.33	.53	15	21	7.5	- 28	90.	7 7 7	);
To get better grades than other kids	18	.05	.85	20	<u> </u>	i 8.	9 2	3 8	67.
So other people will think I'm smart	.08	08	86	00:	.12	-79	9	.11	, 8 <u>.</u>

Changes in Means and SDs of Children's Goal Orientations toward School Work by Grade and Gender: F-statistics Table 2.

			The state of the s		440 4 1044	0011011			
•		Girls 4			Boys 2		Grade b	Gender b	Grade x Cender
Variables	2nd	3rd	5th	2nd	3rd	5th	F	<u> </u>	T
I want to learn new things	6.17	6.02	5.01	6.12	5.63	5.04	* 14	49	1 2A
	(1.56)	(1.56)	(1.69)	(1.54)	(2.02)	(1.90)	(2, 934)	(1, 934)	(2, 934)
It is fun or interesting	5.43	5.03	4.09	5.08	4.27	3,97	25.87 ***	8 22 **	1.78
	(2.08)	(2.17)	(1.89)	(2.29)	(2.47)	(2.18)	(2, 934)	(1, 934)	(2, 934)
I think I should	6.33	6.35	5.89	6.04	5.45	5.43	*** 29.2	*** 98 86	2.42
	(1.38)	(1.47)	(1.47)	(1.76)	(2.18)	(1.86)	(2, 933)	(1, 933)	(2, 933)
I feel bad about myself when it doesn't get done	5.11	5.85	4.99	5.32	4.61	4.81	2.89	** 60.6	*** 77 0
A MARIE TO THE COLUMN TO THE C	(2.27)	(1.72)	(1.74)	(2.10)	(2.42)	(1.97)	(2, 935)	(1. 935)	(2, 935)
Teacher says we have to	5.85	5.64	4.91	5.59	4.99	5.01	10.63 ***	377	7 5 6
	(1.87)	(2.03)	(1.95)	(2.17)	(2.44)	(2.08)	(2, 932)	(1, 932)	(2. 932)
Parent(s) told me I have to	5.02	4.63	4.53	5.10	4.60	4.38	6.37 **	, 0	73
	(2.21)	(2.24)	(1.88)	(2.26)	(2.42)	(5.09)	(2, 936)	(1, 936)	(2, 936)
It makes my parent(s) happy	6.05	5.70	4.98	80.9	5.55	5.08	26 55 ***	) C	38
	(1.61)	(1.86)	(1.88)	(1.76)	(2.02)	(1.79)	(2, 934)	(1, 934)	(2, 934)
To get better grades than other kids	5.08	5.16	4.31	5.56	5.17	4.96	9.38 ***	** 66'9	1.83
	(2.35)	(2.31)	(1.95)	(2.15)	(2.31)	(2.01)	(2, 937)	(1, 937)	(2, 937)
So other people will think I'm smart	4.83	5.01	4.06	5.59	5.07	4.65	14.89 ***	10.73 **	1.96
	(2.50)	(2.15)	(2.00)	(1.99)	(2.28)	(2.18)	(2, 937)	(1, 937)	(2, 937)
Notes:								The state of the s	CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED

Notes:

 $^{\circ}$  Standard Deviations (SD  $\,$  s) in parentheses.

b Degrees of freedom (df) in parentheses. \* p < .05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001